

SEVEN LIVES LOST AT SEA.

The Pacific Steamer Bristol
Wrecked on Green Island.

A LOADED LIFEBOAT SMASHED.

Captain and Six Men in Cockleshell
and All Perish—Balance of Crew
Picked Up and Saved—Wrecked
Steamer Was One of Best Known
of Pacific Carriers.

VANCOUVER, B.C., Jan. 2.—The steamer Bristol, one of the best-known heavy carriers of the coast, lies a wreck on the end of Green Island, forty miles from Port Simpson, and her captain, with six members of the crew, has gone to the bottom with her.

The steamer was on her way from Ladysmith, Vancouver Island, to the Treadwell mine, on Douglas Island, Alaska, with 2,500 tons of coal. She was wrecked on the night of January 2, and is now entirely out of sight at high tide.

Accounts of Disaster.

Passengers arriving last night by the City of Seattle gave complete accounts of the disaster. The steamer was trying to make Dickerson's Entry in a rough sea when the wreck occurred. It was 11 o'clock when she struck and the sea smashed over her stern until she disappeared.

Captain McIntyre at first thought that she would float off all right at high tide. He sent Chief Officer Smith below to investigate and found that the coal was going through the bottom of the ship.

Boats Ordered Lowered.

The captain then ordered out the boats. Three were safely launched and got away in the darkness so early.

The fourth boat was probably smashed against the side of the ship. It has never been found and there is no trace of it or the seven men who were to go aboard and for whom all hope has been given up.

The lost are:

CAPTAIN MCINTYRE, seventy years, of Port Townsend.

CAPTAIN ROBERTS, pilot, of Victoria.

C. VIVIAN, chief engineer, of San Francisco.

EDWARDS, third engineer, of San Francisco.

JO. SILVIA, member of crew, of San Francisco.

H. C. HURLENT, member of crew, of San Francisco.

Other Boats Picked Up.

The steamer Cottage came along at 8 o'clock in the morning and picked up the three boats. There was still a heavy sea running.

All the twenty-one who were rescued are coming down by the City of Topeka, which will reach Port Townsend at 7 o'clock tomorrow morning.

The Bristol was of 1,774 register. She was engaged a year ago in the Klondike trade and was owned by Premier Dunsinuir's Coal Company.

YALE ATHLETIC FINANCES.

Large Deficit Reported in Spite of Big Receipts.

NEW HAVEN, Conn., Jan. 2.—Despite her large profits in football and baseball, Yale is behind \$1,373.26 in her athletic finances according to the Yale Financial Union, just made public.

The deficit is caused by the lack of subscriptions to the boat club and athletic association, which are supported almost entirely in this way, and from the surplus of the other athletic associations.

As Yale will have to spend \$5,000 on a boathouse the coming season, a call for more money is issued.

The receipts are: Football association, \$1,317.84; baseball, \$1,253.45; boat club, \$9,903.87; athletic association, \$1,695.14. Expenditures: Football, \$21,807.22; baseball, \$11,079.37; boat club, \$12,013.90; athletic association, \$1,231.43; maintenance of field, \$17,500. Total expenditures, \$67,618.02; receipts, \$66,244.25.

MR. BRYAN AT NEW HAVEN.

Shakes Hands With Hundreds and
Departs for Boston.

NEW HAVEN, Conn., Jan. 2.—Following his lecture last night W. B. Bryan shook hands with 1,200 people, who had given him an enthusiastic reception in Music Hall, where he spoke after attending the Jackson Day banquet of the New Haven Democratic Club. Mr. Bryan spent the night with Hon. Philo S. Bennett.

He took the 11:35 a. m. train for Boston, where he speaks tonight.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S CRITICISM

German Paper Declares Argument
Ought Now Be Closed.

COLOGNE, Jan. 2.—Commenting on Chancellor von Bismarck's severe criticism of Mr. Joseph Chamberlain's recent reference to the German army, the "Cologne Gazette" says that while the Chancellor's day of reckoning with the British Colonial Secretary came a little late, the speech was distinguished by its clearness and in making an impression.

The article modeled after the dramatic style of utterance which Mr. Chamberlain adopted. The paper says the incident ought now be regarded as closed by both sides, owing to the importance of preserving good relations between Germany and Great Britain.

FITZ SEEKS A BACKER.

Willing to Fight Jeffries If He Can
Arrange It.

SAN FRANCISCO, Cal. Jan. 2.—Adolph Speckles, son of the California Sugar King, has received a telegram from Fitzsimmons, which indicates the Californian is ready to enter the ring again and fight Jeffries. If suitable arrangements can be made.

In the telegram, Fitzsimmons asked the millionaire if he would back him for \$25,000 against the present champion, the winner to take the fighters' entire share of the gate receipts.

The California millionaire has always been an ardent admirer of Bob, but whether he will put up the \$25,000 to back him against Jeffries is a matter of some doubt. Speckles is not as active in sporting circles as he was a few years ago, and so much would give him a prominence in the battle world he would not desire. Then, again, while he is a Fitzsimmons partisan, he may have too good an opinion of Jeffries to bet any such amount against him.

CASTORIA For Infants and Children,
The Kind You Have Always Bought

OPPOSES SPECIAL ENVOYS TO THE CORONATION OF KING EDWARD VII.

Representative Kern of Illinois
Against Any Appropriation
For the Purpose.

"Not one cent, not one dollar will I vote for this country to participate in the coronation of Edward VII. of England. On the contrary, I will oppose with all my vigor and strength any effort made in the House to pass a bill appropriating money to pay the expense of sending representatives of the army and navy to attend the coronation exercises of Edward VII."

Thus remarked Representative Fred J. Kern of Illinois this morning.

"Inconsistency of the Proposition."

"Just think for one moment," said Mr. Kern, his eyes fairly snapping fire, "of the inconsistency of the proposition. Here is the United States, the greatest, most glorious and freest Republic the sun ever shone upon, sending a special envoy to give, by his very presence, the sanction and approval of this Government to the perpetration of an effeminate monarchy! Could there be anything more incongruous?"

"But that is not all. We are to send our ships and a lot of officers to engage in a naval demonstration to add to the homage of an individual who rules by 'divine right.' And that same sovereign, or rather his monarchical Government, is now engaged in crushing out by brute force the little life of the South African Republic."

"How can we impress upon the Porto Ricans, the Cubans, and the Filipinos that we are a Republic?"

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

that we are serious in our declaration that we want them to enjoy local self-government when in the face of our profession we lend the presence of our great Government to a function, the object of which is to destroy democracy and uphold the class at the expense of the mass?"

"Does England send her ships or representatives of her army at the inauguration of a President of the United States? Certainly not. And why? Because to do so would be a tacit acknowledgment that our republican form of government is the best for the people."

Thinks Ambassador Enough.

"We have an Ambassador at the Court of St. James, and he is all the representative needed to attend the coronation exercises of Edward VII. He could with propriety represent this Government at the function just as the British Ambassador may be said to represent Great Britain at the inauguration of our President."

"The people of this country will not stand for the expenditure of a single dollar for the purpose of the United States making a demonstration at Edward's coronation while the British Government is engaged in throttling the liberty and life of the Boers, struggling to maintain their little Republic in South Africa."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

that we are serious in our declaration that we want them to enjoy local self-government when in the face of our profession we lend the presence of our great Government to a function, the object of which is to destroy democracy and uphold the class at the expense of the mass?"

"Does England send her ships or representatives of her army at the inauguration of a President of the United States? Certainly not. And why? Because to do so would be a tacit acknowledgment that our republican form of government is the best for the people."

Thinks Ambassador Enough.

"We have an Ambassador at the Court of St. James, and he is all the representative needed to attend the coronation exercises of Edward VII. He could with propriety represent this Government at the function just as the British Ambassador may be said to represent Great Britain at the inauguration of our President."

"The people of this country will not stand for the expenditure of a single dollar for the purpose of the United States making a demonstration at Edward's coronation while the British Government is engaged in throttling the liberty and life of the Boers, struggling to maintain their little Republic in South Africa."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.

"And furthermore, such an appropriation would not be endorsed by the people. I suppose there must necessarily be some fireworks and display on such an occasion as the coronation of a King or Queen, and all Governments are, as a matter of form, I presume, invited to attend just the same as the representatives of foreign Governments are invited to witness the inaugural exercises in Washington on the 4th of March."

"But the Governments whose representatives—and I mean the Ambassadors and Ministers—are thus invited to the inaugural exercises do not send their ships of war and their army generals to participate in a demonstration of approval, such as the coronation affair, will be."

"Undemocratic and Unrepublican."

"And it is undemocratic and unrepublican, and against the spirit of a Republic like ours to have any other person or persons to represent the United States on the occasion of Edward's coronation than the American Ambassador to the Court of St. James."

"When England ceases in her efforts to destroy the South American Republic and recognizes the independence of the Boers as she was forced to recognize our independence in 1876, then perhaps it might not be amiss to send a few of our ships to fire a gun or two for Edward VII just to show there is no hard feelings."

"Congress may make an appropriation for the purpose of enabling the Government to take part in the demonstration attendant on the coronation of Edward VII, but if such an appropriation is made it will not be by my voice or vote," said Representative James A. Norton of Ohio.